



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/662,965 | 09/15/2000 | GETHER IRICK JR. | 05015.036SU1 | 3021 |

7590 11/30/2001

MITCHELL A KATZ
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG P C
127 PEACHTREE STREET, SUITE 1200
THE CANDLER BUILDING
ATLANTA, GA 30303

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

SHQRT, PATRICIA A

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1712

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/662965 | IRICK et al. |
| | Examiner Short | Group Art Unit 1712 |

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

P r i d for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE One MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- This action is FINAL.
- Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- Claim(s) 1 - 28 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- Claim(s) 1 - 28 are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.
- The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
 - All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.
 - received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary, PTO-413 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 | <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant is required to make the following **two** elections of species.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species for the biodegradable polymer that are aliphatic-aromatic copolyester having repeating units of the formula on page 22, aliphatic polyester having repeating units of the first formula on page 23, aliphatic polyester having repeating units of the second formula on page 23, C₁-C₁₀ cellulose ester, poly(vinyl alcohol), poly(ethylene-co-vinyl alcohol), poly(vinyl acetate), poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate), poly(alkylene D-tartrate) polyp-dioxanone, polyorthoformate poly(oxyethylene glycoate) and polyethylene oxide.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-5, 9-18, 21, 22 and 25-28 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1712

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species for the phenol containing compound that are terpene-phenol resin, poly(vinyl phenol), 4,4'-thio-bis(2-t-butyl-5-methylphenol), polymeric alkylated phenol, 2,5-di-t-amylhydroquinone, 1,1-di-(2-methyl-4-hydroxy-5-t-butylphenyl)butane, 1,1'-thio-bis(2-hydroxynaphthalene), diphenyl-isodecyl phosphite, diisodecylphenyl phosphite, tris(p-nonylphenyl)phosphite and tris(3-methyl-4-(2-methyl-4-hydroxy-5-t-butylphenylthio)-6-t-butyl)phosphite.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 10, 11 and 17-21 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1712

P. Short

November 19, 2001

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 305-3599

**PATRICIA A. SHORT
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Patricia A. Short